INDEX

Of

EXHIBITS

	Doc.	Def.	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident.	In Evidence
•	231	2370		Address of Mr. Hachiro ARITA, Minister for Foreign Affairs at the 70th Session of the Diet on 21 January 1937		18387
				MORNING RECESS		18391
	76	2371		Statement of the Foreign Office re the Agreement Against the Communist International		18398
				NOON RECESS		18412
	591	2372		A Book entitled "Tortured China" by Hallet Abend	18413	
9	202 - K-1	2373		Excerpt from John B. Powell's Book "My Twenty-Five Years in China" (pp.173, 174, 175)		18420
	202-Q-1	2374		ditto		18426
	202-0-1			ditto		18428
	202-M-3			ditto		18432
				AFTERNOON RECESS		18434
	691	2377		Affidavit of YOSHIDA, Akio		18441

INDEX

Of

WITNESSES

Defense: Witnesses	Page
MAYAMA, Kwonji (resumed)	18368
Direct by Mr. KAINO (cont'd)	18368
(Witness excused)	18379
YOSHIDA, Akio	18438
Direct by Mr. Banno	18438

Friday, 14 March 1947 2 3 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL 5 FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan 8 The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjourment, 9 at 0930. 10 11 Appearances: 12 For the Tribunal, same as before with the 13 exception of: HONORABLE MR. JUSTICE NORTHCROFT, 14 Member from the Dominion of New Zealand, now sitting, 15 and HONORABLE LORD PATRICK, Member from the United 16 17 Kingdom of Great Britain, not sitting. 18 For the Prosecution Section, same as before. 19 For the Defense Section, same as before. 20 21 (English to Japanese and Japanese 22 to English interpretation was made by the 23 Language Section, IMTFE.) 24 25

Spratt & Yelden

5

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session.

THE PRESIDENT: All the accused are present except OKAWA and TOJO, who are represented by their counsel. We have a certificate from the prison surgeon of Sugamo certifying that the accused TOJO is ill and unable to attend the trial today. The certificate will be recorded and filed.

Mr. KAINO.

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

9

KWANJI MAYAMA, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, resumed the stand and testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

15 BY MR. KAINO (Continued):

Q Witness, yesterday you answered that the import funds were calculated according to f.o.b. prices. That was the end of your answer. Was there any significance that the payment was made according to f.o.b. standard?

22

23

20

21

A Import plans on the basis of C.o.b. calculation is a normal practice in international peacetime trade. However, after the outbreak of the Pacific War in formulating the plans for material mobilization the calculations were made in accordance with c.i.f.

prices.

2 3

5

1

6

7

8

10

9

11 12

13 14

15

17 18

19 20

21 22

23

24 25

Was there any special reason why, after the outbreak of the Pacific "ar, that c.i. . standard had to be adopted?

The c.i.f. calculation was adopted in order to assure the complete and safe arrival of goods which are included in the import plans under the Material Mobilization Plan. C.i.f. calculation was adopted in order to awaid dangers at sea.

Have you ever received any directives suggesting the coming of a war when you made up the allocation of the material plan -- plan for the allocation of materials to significant industries?

In the formulation of a plan for the fiscal year 1941 there was no directive or order or instructions of any kind to that effect, either from the president of the Planning Board or from the army or navy up to the outbreak of the Pacific War.

In what capacity did you participate in the drawing up of the Material Mobilization Plan which was made up in 1941 -- fiscal year 1941?

I was the official in charge of material mobilization.

O The Material Mobilization Plan of the fiscal year 1941 concerning which were there any special

characteristics or any plans attached to it?

A Yes.

Q What were they?

A The first quarter covers April to June, inclusive, and the Material Mobilization Plan for that quarter must be completed by April, early part of April. In view of the great -- the confusing international situation and in view of the very heavy demands made by the various ministries with respect to materials, no prospects could be made with regard to the adjustment or the formulation of plans with respect of these materials. Hence the Material Mobilization Plan for the first quarter of the 1940 fiscal year was used as a basis and a provisional plan was therefore set up for the following three months.

Q How about the later plans? "hat happened to later plans?

A Annual plans were completed generally around the middle of June.

Q Was that plan put into practice?

A It was not carried into effect.

Q What was the reason or the reasons the drawn-up plan was not put into execution?

A Immediately before the scheduled time for

3

4

10

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

25

the carrying into effect of the plan the German-Soviet War broke out and the import plans with regard to imports from Germany had to be omitted.

THE MONITOR: On the 22 of June the Russo-German War broke out.

While in the midst of making revisions and modifications of this plan another incident occurred and that was the general ban on American exports to Japan, which took place in July. Therefore it was necessary to omit all import plans with the exception of import plans as they concerned French Indo-China and Siam. As a result of the elimination of these import plans there was necessarily a decrease of from forty to sixty per cent of the amount of materials which had been considered in the scheduled plan.

Q Was there any change effected after the allotment to the army and navy?

A With regard to adjustment of the allocation of materials to the army and navy the five steps had to be taken in order to meet the new contingency: the utilization of domestic stocks to strengthening of the collection of all available materials within the country; the increased use of substitutes, that is third; fourth, stringent economy; and fifth, a readjustment of use of materials.

Q Now, because of that, because of those plans, was there any increase to the material allotted to either army or navy?

A With respect to iron, I have already mentioned. With respect of other materials, there was a general increase in the material allocation of from five to thirty percent which was completed by the end of the month of August.

Q Was there any material the allocation of which to the army or navy was decreased on account of the plan?

A The biggest item was special steel and petroleum.

Q On what reason or reasons the allocation of the material to army and navy were increased?

A With the aggravation and expansion of the China Incident munitions requirements became increasingly great. With regard to this increased demand on munitions materials the said increase was effected as a result of consultation on the part of the various government departments.

How about the civil demands -- demands by private quarters?

A Being a rather serious question so far as civilian quarters were concerned, consultations were

19 20

21

22

24

held between the various ministries, including the army and navy. As a result with respect of iron, the normal demand for civilian purposes of 1,000,000 tons of iron was reduced by one-half to 500,000 tons.

Q When the Material Mobilization Plan was drawn up, was it customary for each minister to make direct demand to the president of the Cabinet Planning Board?

A No, such a practice was not customary.

A No, such a practice was not customary.

Actually the competent section within the various ministries or the department or dimission within the ministry concerned would directly approach their opposing party in the Planning Board.

Q Was there any exception to the rule?

A Yes, once in the fiscal year 1941.

Q I request the witness to state briefly the outline of that point.

A In September 1941 there was a supplementary demand for steel tendered by the Vice-Minister of Navy. At the same time parallel with that move on the part of the Navy Vice-Minister a demand for extra allocation of steel was made by the chief of the Material Mobilization and Adjustment Bureau of the Ministry of War. In regard to these demands from the army and navy quarters the president of the Planning

Board, in view of the fact that there was no special situation or condition existing as of September, and because of the extremely inferior or weak supply of materials at that time, did not recognize or acknowledge these requests. This condition continued until the first part of December and the plan for carrying into effect the Material Mobilization Plan for the final quarter covering October to December was not actually put into effect until mid-December.

Q The allocation of iron to the army and navy which the witness referred to yesterday as of 1941, did that allotment include the additional allocation you have just mentioned?

A The figures I gave you yesterday were taken from the figures as incorporated in the Material Mobilization Plan and in executing or carrying into effect the plan there was found — in carrying out this plan there was a decrease in the production of steel and therefore there was a decrease in the manufacture of steel products. In making the supplementary allocation about 100,000 tons were applied to the amount to fill in the gap created by the decrease in production and therefore I could say that there was a net increase of 100,000 tons of steel.

Q I request the witness to explain the

relationship between the production expansion plan and the material allotment mobilization plan.

A The production volume as figured in the production expansion plan and the supply volume incorporated in the Material Mobilization Plan are inseparable items. I shall illustrate this with steel -- with iron -- as found in the plan for the fiscal year 1941. The supply capacity of iron under the Material Mobilization Plan for 1941 was 4,810,000 tons. Of this amount 4,710,000 tons were of domestic output. Domestic production, of course, includes scrap iron imported from foreign countries and this figure, 4,710,000 tons, is the same amount as included in the plan for production expansion.

Goldberg & Kapleau

A (Continuing): To reach the goal of four mirlion, seven hundred and ten thousand tons as figured in the production expansion plan it was necessary to draw the supply thereof -- the necessary supply thereof from the four million, eight hundred and ten thousand tons which was the maximum amount that could be supplied.

THE MONITOR: We are asking the witness to explain because we just don't catch on to exactly what he meant.

A (Continuing): In order to produce four million, seven hundred and ten thousand tons as called for in the production expansion plan, a certain amount of iron is necessary. Part of the iron supply, which is drawn from the four million, eight hundred and ten thousand tons of iron diverted for expansion plan, was also drawn from the one million, six hundred thousand tons. If the figures in the outline for production expansion formulated in 193° were applied, the following will be known: The production of four million, sever hundred and ten thousand tons of iron as called for in the production expansion plan corresponds to the amount of volume of iron called for in the production plan for the year 1939 which was seven million, two hundred and sixty thousand tons.

This should indicate how inseparable and interrelated are the material robilization plan and the production expansion plan. The production expansion goal as fixed in the plan for the fiscal year 1939 was not actually adopted in it when formulating the material mobilization plan.

What attitude did the army and navy strike ir attaining the plan approved in 1939 by the cabinet council?

A Are you referring to rroduction expansion?

Q Yes.

A From the result, they were non-cooperative.

Q What was the reason or reasons for assuming such an attitude on the part of the army and navy?

A The volume that could be supplied from the material robilization plan was exceedingly small, and at the Allocation Adjustment Council representatives of the army and navy stated as follows: With such an inferior supply capacity the army and navy representative said it would be impossible even to settle the immediate problem which was the incident then going on-in Chira and that being the case, they would like to have rore materials allocated the army and ravy even by scrapping the production expansion plan. And in accordance with the desire of the army and ravy

measures were taken to increase the allocation to the army and navy. What was the result of that conference? Instead of production expansion it became reduction and reproduction. 5 MR. KAINO: That concludes my direct examina-6 tion. 7 THE PRESIDENT: Well, now, a colleague desires the following questions to be answered. 9 BY THE PRESIDENT: 10 Why were import plans after June, 1941. 11 made for French Indo-China and Siam only? 12 A Up to July, 1941, the import plan of the 13 material mobilization plan included -- still continued 14 to include items which had not been banned from im-15 portation into Japan from foreign countries. However, 16 17 after July, the importation of hoped-for items from 18 abroad were banned from export from the countries of 19 origin and, therefore, plan incorporating these 20 materials had to be abandoned. At that time imports 21 from the Netherlands East Indies were also impossible. 22 Why were trade relations with Manchukuo dif-23 ferent? 24 Not being the official directly and personally

in charge I am not familiar with that matter.

 THE PRESIDENT: Prigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Fay it please the Tribunal, we have ro questions.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is released on the usual terms.

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)
THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, as previously explained, we are deferring further evidence on national economy and encirclement due to certain difficulties which we have encountered and, with the Tribunal's permission, we will now proceed with part five of division one relating to the evidence of the Japanese demestic conditions to show that the educational system of Japan was not used to foster any plan of aggression -- aggressive war and that the steps taken to suppress the subversive activities of the Communists were unrelated to aggressive war and that no propaganda was employed for any conspiracy as charged in the Indictment.

Mr. McManus will proceed with the presentation of this evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, it is regrettable you cannot proceed in the order you intended. Could you give us an explanation?

MR. LOGAN: There are several, your honor, among which there is the question of obtaining documents from the United States. The other is the inability of one of our colleagues to so present the evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we give you leave to open up the rext stage.

Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: Mr. President and Members of the Tribunal:

This phase about to be presented for the Tribunal's consideration embodies the internal and external affairs of Japan just prior to and during the period of time covered by the Indictment. The phase also comprises the history of education in Japan and propaganda. It is offered for the purpose of refuting the allegations alleged in the Indictment by the prosecution wherein it is charged that these defendants for this period of time had been making preparations for an aggressive war. As these three subjects are so closely related, it is the intention of the defense to present them as one phase. Even so, we shall endeavor to separate them as far as they can be so separated for the purpose of orderly procedure.

However, we beg the Court's indulgence if perchance on a few occasions one or several documents or witnesses may appear to be not entirely connected with the sub-division being presented, for we assure the Court that such documents or witnesses will be connected to at least one of the three subdivisions.

It is first our intention to present to this Tribunal these internal and external affairs concerning Japan, not for the purpose of enlightening the Tribunal on some facts about which the Tribunal already has knowledge, but for the sole purpose of enabling this Tribunal to determine whether the military training in schools as was carried out in Japan during the period covered in this indictment and prior thereto was for the preparation for an aggressive war as the prosecution claims, or whether or not it was for the purpose of national security and self-defense; and further to enable this Tribunel to determine whether or not such military training was in excess of that which any other nation in the world would have done under similar circumstances.

I now offer the following documents for the aforementioned purpose of revealing the internal

24

1

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

and external conditions of Japan as they affected Japan directly. At this time, if the Court pleases, I would like to offer defense document 674. I propose to read it. It is a statement of the accused ARAKI, depicting certain of these internal conditions in Japan during part of the years covered in the indictment. This statement was given to the prosecutors during the month of February 1946, and your Honor will find reference to same on page 2214 of the record, wherein Mr. Hyder stated to the Tribunal that he had already turned over the English translation of this statement to me at that time. I now tender this document and request that it be received into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Objection is made to the use of this document in evidence for the reason that it is a voluntary, self-serving declaration made by one of the accused who could take the stand and testify regarding these matters. It is a statement, not an interrogation. It was not used as the basis of interrogation.

THE PRESIDENT: In effect, instead of giving evidence in the witness box he is handing in an unsworn statement on his own behalf and on behalf of

the other accused.

MR. TAVENNER: That is, in substance, correct, yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: This isn't a statement he made without any thought of these proceedings many years ago. It is not in that category. It is going to be hard to justify, Mr. McManus, but we will hear you.

MR. McMANUS: I can assure the Tribunal at this time, if your Honor please, that the defendant ARAKI intends in the individual phase to take the stand. Further, if your Honor pleases, this is a statement received by the prosecution which has not been marked as an exhibit by the prosecution, although all other interrogatories have been. Furthermore --

THE PRESIDENT: I have no doubt that the prosecution would gladly receive from this accused a confession but nothing in the nature of an exculpation. What an accused said in answer to a prosecution interrogator, although tending to exculpate the accused, would of course be admitted if it were relevant; but this is not in the same class. Here is a statement volunteered, a statement which should really be made in the witness box. If we admit this

what is there to prevent all the accused from putting the whole of their case in writing in this way end leaving it at that?

MR. McMANUS: I have already stated to the Tribunal, your Honor, that the defendant ARAKI will during the individual phase take the stand. This statement I am offering now is for the purpose of showing the internal conditions in Japan during some of the years embodied in the indictment, not , for the sole defense of ARAKI.

I call to your Honor's attention also Section 4, Article 12 of the Chriter, which states that all purported admissions or statements of the accused are admissible. I also call to the Court's attention that this statement was made in February 1946, before the accused ARAKI was indicted.

THE PRESIDENT: I know that in certain jurisdictions, including that of my own country, an accused is allowed to make a statement from the dock, if he wishes, under certain circumstances and he is not subject to cross-examination. But those conditions do not erise here. This procedure is entirely new to me. But that is only my view, of course. I don't see that it is necessary in the interest of

24 25

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

justice, however. I will seek the opinion of my colleagues. (Pause) The majority of the Court have decided to reject the statement.

Morse & Wolf

MR. McMANUS: For the same purpose, if the Court pleases, to show the internal conditions and external conditions affecting Japan directly, I now offer document No. 394. It is an article from the Japan Chronicle, dated August 6, 1931. It is Mr. INUKAI's speech at a mass meeting.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Objection is made on the ground that it is irrelevant and immaterial. INUKAI at that time was a private citizen. Further reference to the article itself will show that it relates to internal politics in Japan and for that reason could not be material to any issue involved here.

IR. McMANUS: If the Court pleases, I stated in my opening statement that I intended to sh w the internal and external affairs which affected Japan directly for one sole purpose, and that being whether or not the military training conducted in the schools was for aggressive warfare, for domination of the world, or whether it was for self-defense and nationalal security.

THE PRESIDENT: On the question of that magnitude what probative value can be found in the speech of a private individual. I know at one stage he was a minister and a prime minister. I will take the views of my colleagues.

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor pleases, this 2 expresses the public opinion at that time. THE PRESIDENT: We cannot listen to you and read these. The majority of the Court rejects the speech. 6 ITR. McMANUS: At this time, if the Court pleases, I tender defense document No. 231. It is an address by Mr. ARITA, Minister for Foreign Affairs, at the 70th Session of the Diet on January 21, 1937. It is 10 for the same purpose and I ask that the excerpts read 11 therefrom be marked into evidence. 12 THE PRESIDENT: There appears to be no objection 13 this time, Mr. McManus. 14 MR. McMANUS: Thank you, your Honor. 15 THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. 16 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 231 17 will receive exhibit No. 2370. 18 (Whereupon, the document above re-19 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2370 20 and received in evidence.) 21 22 23 24 25

MR. McMANUS: (Reading)

"The Address of Mr. Hachiro ARITA, Minister forForeign Affairs, at the Seventieth Session of the Diet, January 21, 1937.

"I have the honour today of addressing you on the foreign policy of Japan and presenting an outline of the recent developments.

"As I stated last May at the Extraordinary
Session of the Diet, the national policy of Japan aims
at ensuring the stability of East Asia, to contribute
thereby to the cause of world peace, as well as at
promoting the welfare and happiness of mankind by
upholding international justice. And we have been
striving earnestly and unremittingly toward the
achievement of those aims.

"In surveying the conditions of the world it is to be noted that the international political situation is still lacking in stability. In fact, it appears to be growing worse than over owing especially to the activities of the Communist International, or the Comintern. In these circumstances, it is truly significant that there was concluded on November 25 last year an agreement between Japan and Germany concerning the Communist International. This agreement is intended for a co mon defence against the activities

7 8

,

of the Comintern whose tenets are not not only incompatible with the national polity of our country but inconsistent with human nature itself.

"The Japanese Government have always been endcavouring to suppress the Communist movement, which long since made its way also into this country. In China, subsequent to the adoption by the Kuomintens of a policy of toleration toward the Communist Party, movement spread widely so that there were established a few years ago 'Soviet Areas' in various parts of Kiangsi and Fukian, and the so-called 'Communistbandit armies' infested numerous localities. It was with grave concern that Japan watched the developments in Chine under the Red invasion. Then, in the summer of the year before last, the Seventh Concress of the Comintern, convened at Moscow, passed a resolution and declared openly that certain countries including Japan and Germany were to be the major objects of its future operations. At the same time the Comintern adopted new tactics of campaign based upon the formation of the so-called 'people's front,' which has proved successful in several countries of Furone, especially in Sps in where it has brought on a civil war which is raging even now attended with terrible scenes of fratricide. In East Asia the Comintern

2

3

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

20

21

22

2

commenced skilfully to sovietize China while damaging the Sinc-Japanese relations through movement called 'the Anti-Japanese People's Front' organized throughout that country. In Manchoukuo and also in Japan there appeared signs of the growth of Communist manoeuvers.

"In the face of the operations of the Comintern, tenacious as they are ingenious, our Government were obliged to devise more stringent measures
of defense and formulate an adequate policy for the
protection of our national polity and the preservation
of the stability of East Asia."

I now turn to the next page:

"The Japanese Government are endeavouring to further the unity and solidarity between the peoples of Japan and Manchoukuo and to consolidate the neighbourly and inseparable relationship between the two countries. And for that purpose, we decided, as I stated at the previous session of the Diet, upon the abolition of our extraterritorial rights and the adjustment and transfer of our administrative rights in the South Manchuria Railway Zone. Under this policy, and as the first step, there was signed on June 10 last year a treaty concerning partial abolition of our

extraterritorial rights and adjustment of our administrative rights in the South Manchuria Railway Zone. The conclusion of this treaty was hailed by the people of Manchoukuo with profound satisfaction, and its operation has since proved eminently successful. It is gratifying to us all to note that Manchoukuo is making steady and healthy progress along all lines, and the economic and commercial relations between that country and ours are growing more and more intimate."

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break,

THE PRESIDENT: This is a convenient break, in view of what is to follow.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

Greenberg & Eder

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: (Reading) "It was some time ago that the Japanese Government proposed to China three basic principles, hoping to effect thereby the much needed adjustment of Sino-Japanese relations. However, in August last there occurred the Chengtu Incident, which was followed by a series of unfortunate incidents at Pakhoi and elsewhere. From an examination into the nature of these incidents we could see clearly that every one of them was not merely an ordinary case of murder or assault, but that they were all traceable to the anti-Japanese policy of China. While it was necessary to obtain settlements of individual cases as such, it was evident that unless we eliminated their underlying causes we could not possibly prevent the recurrence of similar incidents, nor could we protect the lives and property of our nationals and safeguard our rights and interests in China, and consequently we could never hope for a friendly and harmonious intercourse between our two nations. Therefore, in order to forestall the recurrence of any untoward incidents at their very source the Japanese

Government, while demanding utmost sincerity in the exercise of the control of all anti-Japanese agitations, invited the Nanking Government to discuss various questions, upon the solution of which depended the adjustment of Sino-Japanese relations. In other words, we desired that the Nanking Government, instead of stopping short at the negative policy of merely controlling the anti-Japanese movement, should go a step further and alter their own attitude toward Japan which was one of the chief causes of anti-Japanese agitations; and we urged upon that Government to prove their sincerity on various concrete issues having to do with the rehabilitation of Sino-Japanese relations. Negotiations were begun at Nanking between the representatives of the two countries early September, and continued for over three months. Believing that in view of the Chinese attitude, indefinite prolongation of the negotiations would only serve to bring on fresh complications, we demanded that the Nanking Government put into practice those points on which both sides had so far reached an agreement of views, leaving the remaining questions to later conversations. Thereupon the Chengtung and Pakhoi Incident as such were settled on December 30.

0

21

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23 24

"As you may gather from what has been said, our negotiations with China, having failed to produce as yet the anticipated results, had come to a standstill for the present. However, I am convinced that these negotiations are of significant value in that they will serve as a stage in the progress of further negotiations. Since our fundamental policy toward China is directed most certainly toward the collaboration and the friendship and the common prosperity of the two countries, the renewal of the endeavours for the adjustment of Sino-Japanese relations with a fresh resolve and determination is not only an obligation of the two Governments but also a common desire, I believe, of both nations.

"As regards the incident at Sian, our Government took a fair and impartial attitude and watched the course of events calmly but with grave concern. It is most fortunate for China that the affair is being brought to an end without entailing serious consequences. However, in view of the fact that Chang Hsueh-liang came out for a pro-Communist and anti-Japanese policy at the initial stage of the incident, and that its final outcome is bound to have a far-reaching effect upon the general

"As you may pather from what has been said, our negotiations with China, having failed to produce as yet the anticipated results, had come to a standstill for the present. However, I am convinced that these negotiations are of significant value in that ther will serve as a stage in the progress of further negotiations. Since our fundamental policy toward China is directed most certainly toward the collaboration and the friendship and the common prosperity of the two countries, the renewal of the endeavours for the adjustment of Sino-Japanese relations with a fresh resolve and determination is not only an obligation of the two Governments but also a common desire, I believe, of both nations.

"As regards the incident at Sian, our Government took a fair and impartial attitude and watched the course of events calmly but with grave concern. It is most fortunate for China that the affair is being brought to an end without entailing serious consequences. However, in view of the fact that Chang Hsueh-liang came out for a pro-Communist and anti-Japanese policy at the initial stage of the incident, and that its final outcome is bound to have a far-reaching effect upon the general

situation of East Asia, our Government are paying careful attention to further developments.

"The policy of Japan toward the U.S.S.R. lies, as a matter of course, in the maintenance and insurance of normal relations. In order to enhance friendly relations between the two countries through the solution of pending issues, our Government have continued to conduct negotiations during the past year regarding the revision of the fishery treaty, and the problems of border demarcation and settlement of disputes between the Soviet Union and Manchoukuo, and also have assisted in the regotiations between the Soviet authorities and the representatives of Japanese interests on the matter of extension of the period of oil prospecting in North Saghalien. As regards this oil question, a contract was concluded in October extending the prospecting period by five years. The text of a new fishery convention was also drawn up in the middle of last November after deliberations lasting for one and a half years. But at the very moment when the instrument was to be signed, the Soviet Government asked for a postponement of the signature on the grounds of non-completion of the necessary domestic procedure, and thereafter persistently

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

refused to sign. "e called the attention of the Soviet Government repeatedly to the basic nature of Japan's fishery right in the northern waters and to the perpetuity required of the treaty intended to regulate the exercise of the said right. As the result of these latter conversations a provisional arrangement was concluded at last on the 28th of December, which will permit our fishery enterprise to go unobstructed for the coming session. Our Government intends of course to continue negotiations regarding the signing of the above-mentioned new convention and expects to arrive at a settlement at an early date."

I turn now to page 7, the first paragraph thereon.

"Thus we are doing everything to establish peaceful relations with the Soviet Union. It is to be greatly regretted, therefore, that the Soviet Union only maintains disproportionately large armaments along the Manchoukuo frontier, but goes so far at times as to circulate rumours of aggressive actions on the part of this country. Should the Soviet Government appreciate the pacific intentions of Japan, reflect upon their own past attitude and seek to solve pending issues between the two

countries, I believe that Soviet-Japanese relations 1 would be soon put upon a normal footing." 2 MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, may I 3 say a few words in support of an application for review of the Tribunal's refusal of defense document 394? 6 7 THE PRESIDENT: Well, I will have to ask the Members' opinion. I am uttorly opposed to it. I'r. Logan. I might have added to the reasons ? 10 gave, that even in our own jurisdiction where w allow an accused to make a statement from the dock it 11 12 must be one statement covering the whole case and 13 not a number of statements, as you proress. 14 MR. LOGAN: That is a different document. 15 your Honor. 16 THE FRESIDENT: I returned my document, and 17 I do not recollect the number, but apparently you 18 ere referring to Mr. INOUC's speech? 19 MR. LOGAN: That is right. 20 THE PRESIDENT: There was an overwhalming 21 majority against you on that. The Members may be 22 prepared to open it up. It is not for me to say. 23 There is a majority against you and I make it. 24 Mr. McManus. 25 MR. McMANUS: I next tender for identification

-

defense document No. 76, and ask that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This is a statement from the Foreign Affairs office regarding an agreement between the Communist Internationale, December 25, 1936.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No.

76 will receive exhibit No. 2371.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2371 and received in evidence.)

MR. McMANUS: (Reading) "Ever since its establishment, the Communist International, or the so-called Comintern, with its headquarters at Moscow, has been engaged in all sorts of activities, greatly menacing the peace of the world, for the purpose of destroying the national and social structures in every country in pursuance of the fundamental policy of world revolution. At its Seventh Congress, held in the summer of last year, the Comintern decided on a policy of organizing a united front with the Second International to oppose Fascism and imperialism and at the same time made it plain that the future objectives of Comintern activities were to be Japan, Germany and Poland. It further resolved to support

.

the Chinese Communist armies in order to fight Japan.
The actual operations subsequently carried on by the
Comintern have become extremely ingenious, rendering
them all the more dangerous."

Page 2.

"The Communist invasion has hitherto been more marked in the Orient, especially in China, than clsewhere. Outer Mongolia and Sinkiang have already suffered from its calamitous effects and China proper is now being subjected to the depredations of the Communist armies. As a matter of fact, the activities of the Comintern in China have increased notably in vigor since its Seventh Congress.

"In Manchoukuo, also, the Comintern has been surreptitiously endeavoring through the Manchurian district committees of the Chinese Communist Party to set up an intricate organization, to win over and instigate bandits and to direct the raids by partisan troops all over the country.

"In Japan, the extreme leftist movement temporarily showed signs of decline after the Marchurian incident, but since the Seventh Congress of the Comintern it has again become energetic. It has crept into the c'annels of lawful agitation in conformance with the resolution of that congress

and has launched a unified front movement that is intended to be the basis for revival of the Communist movement.

"The Japanese Government, which, in order to saferuard Japan's immutable national polity and insure national security and to maintain everlasting peace in East Asia, has pursued a clearcut and consistent policy toward the Comintern, finds it necessary to take more rigorous measures of self defense against the increased menace set forth above."

Page 3.

"The Japanese Government is desirous of co-operating with as many Powers as possible for the purpose of perfecting its defensive measures against the Comintern menace, but for that purpose alone. It should be pointed out that in connection with, or behind, this agreement there exists no other agreement whatsoever, that the Japanese Government has no intention to form, or join in, any special international bloc for any other purpose and fixally that the present agreement is not directed against the Soviet Union or any other specific country."

I now request that defense document No. 204-G, Appendix 6, be received into evidence. It is a report on the communist movements in Manchuria from 1917 to 1932.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

MR. TAVENNER: Mr. President, an objection is made to the introduction of this document on several grounds: First, it purports to be a proof made after the Manchurian Incident by someone not named. There is no certificate attached showing the origin or the authenticity of this document; and, in addition, we feel that it is irrelevant and immaterial to the issues involved here.

THE PRESIDENT: Why do you say it is irrelevant and immaterial after you have been allowing, without objection, documents bearing on the activities of the communists?

MR. TAVENNIR: The previous document on that subject was a document issued by an official organ of the government which, we thought, possibly made it admissible as a contention.

THE PRESIDENT: That is your first ground.

My remarks are directed to your second ground. Your

first ground is that it has no probative value because
you do not know its source and you do not know who is

responsible for it. That may well be sufficient. 1 But then you go on to say that it is irrelevant and 2 immaterial in any case, and I am trying to reconcile that with your attitude towards documents that have 4 been admitted this morning. 5 MR. McMANUS: If the Court pleases, this is 6 an IPS document. THE PRESIDENT: That doesn't make any differ-8 ence. 9 MR. McMANUS: For the source. THE PRESIDENT: I suppose they have a great 11 collection of rubbish in IPS. Well, do you wish to reply to Mr. Tavenner, 13 Mr. McManus? 14 MR. McMANUS: Yes, if your Honor pleases. 15 First, Mr. Tavenner said that he did not know the 16 source. It is an IPS document. Second, I certainly 17 think it is relevant to show the activities of the 18 communist party in Japan. It depicts internal condi-19 tions; it shows uprisings and activities whereby, to conform with the theme that military training -- this 21 Court must decide whether it was necessary or whether 29 it was not necessary. 23 THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal rejects the 24 25 document.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender defense document No. 202V-1 and ask that the excerpts read therefrom be marked into evidence. It is an excerpt from the prosecution's witness', John B. Powell's, book "My Twenty-five Years in China," which is already marked for identification, exhibit No. 2290.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Your Honor, the prosecution has been notified that this is the first of some nineteem excerpts from two books which are to be offered in evidence by the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: If relevant and material and not repetitive, the whole nineteen will be admitted.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: We are objecting to this document, your Honor, on a somewhat broader ground than we have taken with respect to excerpts of a similar character. For the most part, these excerpts deal with a variety of matters regarding internal conditions in China.

Or, even assuming that these excerpts are relevant, and we submit that they are not, and contain statements of fact, and we submit that they do not, this is not the proper manner in which to prove facts of that nature. That must, of course, be done by evidence possessing probative value and entirely

divorced from opinion.

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

An examination of Article 13 of the Charter of this Tribunal makes it abundantly clear that writings of this character were not in the minds of the drafters of that Charter. Not one of these excerpts. your Honor, is a government document; neither is it a report, nor an affidavit, nor a deposition, nor a signed statement. It would have been a very simple matter for the drafters of the Charter to have made provision for the introduction into evidence of writings of this kind. I am not unmindful of the fact that Article 13, subsection 4, of the Charter provides for the admission into evidence of a document "which appears to the Tribunal to cortain information relating to the charge." In my submission, this subsection of Article 13 presupposes that the document possesses the two qualities of relevancy and probative value. To contend otherwise would be to deprive the Tribunal of its discretionary power, as set out in the section, and so render the section meaningless. And we submit that the excerpts in question do not answer these two requirements. But, even assuming that they are relevant, and we submit that they are not, the statements of these journalists in a book do not prove the facts therein stated. It merely proves

that such statements are contained in a book. In other words, if an excerpt were offered from Alice in Wonderland, it does not prove either that there was a Wonderland or that Alice was ever there. And, finally, we submit, your Honor, that the admission of such evidence would be to place before the Tribunal views of the journalists which can in no way influence the judgment of the Tribunal.

Duda & Barton

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: If the Tribunal pleases, I believe your Honors have already ruled on this question. I have taken particular care to mark specific statements of fact -- no opinions -- concerning this book.

Concerning the charge, the Indictment charges that these defendants prepared for an aggressive war, and during Mr. Hammack's presentation for the prosecution, one of the main points that he wanted to put to the Court was the inculcation of aggressiveness into students and also the military training conducted in the schools.

What I am trying to do right now is to show this Tribunal that the specific affairs surrounding Japan internally and externally directly affecting Japan were so that that military training -- it should be decided by the Tribunal whether or not it was necessary or whether it was for the promotion of an aggressive war, as the prosecution charges. Furthermore, in reply to Brigadier Nolan, I think the Court should place some weight on the fact that "Alice" was here.

THE PRESIDENT: In the last analysis, the Charter makes probative value the test, and probative

value, of course, depends upon relevancy and materiality. Certain instances are given in the Charter, but I think the purpose of that is to guide the Court and also to reduce the amount of argument about documents.

What probative value have observations like this in a book by Mr. Powell? He is not a recognized historian. Should his matter be tendered here without any possibility of cross-examination?

We know these entertaining books by capable journalists like Mr. Powell are not in the same category as standard histories written with a view to their having a permanent value. But the question is one of probative value, upon which I will take the views of my colleagues.

MR. McMANUS: Before your Honors make the decision, I would like to call to the Court's attention that Mr. Powell was a prosecution witness.

THE PRESIDENT: I know.

By a majority the Court rejects this excerpt from Mr. Powell's book. I am not clear whether that will cover all subsequent excerpts, but I think it is so.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, the defense is viewing with alarm the objections made by

the prosecution and some of the rulings of the Court in which evidence which we believe is very vital to our case is being objected to. We have for some months past listened to the prosecution offer in evidence excerpts from various documents -- why, they even offered their own synopsis of what they considered was evidence and that has been received in evidence. And here we are offering cocuments by men who were on the spot and testifying as to what they saw. We are just viewing this entire proceeding with alarm, your Honor, with respect to the offering of this evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, you are out of order in addressing the Court on such a matter without its express permission, and you know it. You would not dare to take the stand in the United States Supreme Court.

We give our decisions honestly, in accordance with the argument and our understanding of the position, and regardless of consequences. For my part, let me assure you that one of the decisions against you was given on my casting vote, and I make no apologies, and it is a matter of sheer indifference to me what attitude you take or anybody takes. We will not be intimidated.

MR. LOGAN: I can assure your Honor there is

.

no attempt at intimidation. And as the Tribunal knows, we have endeavored to present this phase through one attorney. But each bit of evidence that is offered here affects each attorney, and I feel that some of this evidence that is being offered affects my client and I feel that I should get up and speak on behalf of him. We have adopted the procedure of presenting it through one attorney to expedite the trial. We thought we were being of assistance to the Tribunal. But when others of us sit here and know the documents are in favor of our client, and to see them rejected for reasons which we think they should really be admitted, we just can't sit still about it. We have to do something. And that is the reason I appeared here.

For example, the previous document that was offered, on which I requested the Tribunal's permission to say something. We are accused of preventing free speech in Japan, and there was a cocument issued, a statement made before a mass meeting of three thousand people by the head of one of the political parties. I just couldn't see why it shouldn't be admitted.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logam, we cannot hear you any further. I think that I have taken a very liberal

view in hearing you as far as I have.

Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: I take it, if the Court pleases, your Honor has rejected document 202-V-1?

THE PRESIDENT: That is so.

MR. McMANUS: I now request that defense document 591 be received into evidence. It is an excerpt from "Tortured China" by Hallett Abend. This document will show the many disorders in China during the years 1929 and 1930.

BRIGALIER NOLAN: Your Honor, the prosecution objects to the introduction of this document for the same reasons and upon the same grounds as we objected to the earlier document. It is in no better position and should, I submit, come under the same ruling of the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus, do you wish to offer anything in addition to what you said earlier?

IR. McMANUS: Nothing other, your Honor, than that these conditions which I show from these particular books show the disorders in the neighboring countries which caused alarm in Japan, which, consequently, it is for the Tribunal to determine whether or not the military training in schools was for self-defense, for security of the nation, or

1 2

whether it was, as the prosecution claims, preparation for aggressive warfare and domination of the world.

I believe, if your Honor please, that the author of this book is still alive. Of course, we could request that he be subpoensed; however, we are taking these excerpts and offering them from his book for the purpose of expediency.

These excerpts are all statements of fact.

I believe your Honor ruled on that question a few days ago, that we could offer such excerpts. Your Honor does accept hearsay testimony provided there are statements of fact.

THE PRESIDENT: And further provided that they have probative value.

I have occasion to adjourn at this stage. We will discuss this question; not with a view to rescinding any decision, however.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President --

THE PRESIDENT: One of my colleagues seeks a conference, and I think we should give it to him.

MR. BROOKS: Mr. President, may I be heard for just one thought, briefly, that--

THE PRESIDENT: One counsel should argue this. This is Mr. McManus' point, and it is for him to do all the arguing. That is what we agreed upon. He

*

is tendering this particular document. Let Mr. McManus put the point, Captain Brooks. We will adjourn, now, until half-past one. (Whereupon, at 1155, a recess was taken.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

DR. McMANUS: If your Honor pleases, just before recess I do not believe your Honor rendered a ruling on document 591.

THE PRESIDENT: Each excerpt will be decided on its merits. There is no decision of the Tribunal to reject or accept the whole. Each excerpt will be dealt with on its merits.

TR. McMANUS: I request at this time, if the Court pleases, to offer for identification the book, "Tortured China," by Hallett Abend.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document
No. 591, to wit, the book, "Tortured China," by
Hallett Abend, will receive exhibit No. 2372 for
identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2372 for identification.)

MR. McMANUS: I now request the Court to

receive into evidence defense document 591, an excerpt therefrom. The defense contends that excerpt 1 from this document will show the many disorders in China during the years 1929 and 1930.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to the introduction of document 591 into evidence on the ground that it has no probative value and consists only of rumors which reached the author, Mr. Abend.

book was published in 1930. I believe Mr. Abend was on the spot at the time, sending home reports while these incidents occurred. I might also add, your Honor, that as this book was written in 1930 it would be free from bias such as might exist possibly today, and as this Indictment covers a period of a great many years I think that excerpts written at that time should be admitted now.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld by a majority; the excerpt is rejected.

MR. McMANUS: I have at this time, if the Court pleases, a number of documents from excerpts from the book, "My Twenty-five Years in China," by Mr. Powell. There was an objection this morning

.....

concerning one of them, and for purposes of the record I would like to ask the Tribunal's permission to note the documents that I refer to the Court and ask them to make an individual ruling on each presentation.

THE PRESIDENT: As soon as we took our seats this afternoon I told you we would consider each excerpt on its merits. None will be rejected simply because it is from Mr. Powell's book. Statements of fact in his book may be received. It depends on the attitude of the Members.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender defense document 202-I and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show the disturbances going on in China whereby other countries as well as Japan had to take steps to

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

protect their interests.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: The prosecution objects to the introduction in evidence of document 202-I on the ground that it is irrelevant and in addition has no probative value. The document is replete with rumors, contains very few dates, and appears to be divided into two chapters, the first of which is entitled, "Fighting in Shanghai," and the second, "Benevolent Gangster." There are some references to Russian leaders but the document is so difficult to analyze that one cannot decide whether at the time at which the author is writing these particular individuals were leaders in the Russian Communist Party or not. It is respectfully suggested to the Tribunal that the defense should be required here and now to demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Tribunal wherein the relevancy of this document lies in respect of the issues to be tried in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: We shall apply to the defense

3

1

5

7

8

10

11

13

15

14

10

17 18

19

20

21

23

24

for assistance if and when we feel we need it.

On motion, of course, you will proceed to point out how it is relevant, Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Do so.

MR. McMANUS: If the Court pleases, in a neighboring country, China, there were settlements of various nations. Because of banditry and uprisings, nations had to take steps to protect their nationals and their interests in that country. It is my intention to show from this document and following documents that because of these circumstances Japan had to maintain continually a military training course in their educational system. I am calling the attention of the Tribunal to these facts, not in justification of any military training but for the purpose of enabling the Tribunal to know these facts and then to determine whether or not Japan was preparing for an aggressive war or whether they were conducting that military training for their national security.

Unfortunately, if the Court pleases, I only had the opportunity to mark the excerpts in these documents for the Court's benefit. I did not have the time to mark the documents presented to the prosecution or to any other people concerned.

THE PRESIDENT: On my casting vote, the document is rejected. The objection is upheld.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender defense document 202-H and request that the marked excerpts therefrom be received into evidence. This also shows the disturbances going on in China whereby other countries had to take steps to protect their nationals and I further suggest to the Court the reasons which I gave for the last document concerning its relevancy.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

objects to the introduction of this document on the ground that it is irrelevant and deals with matters purported to have happened in the years 1924 and 1926. I am not aware of the portions which my learned friend intends to read if it is admitted into evidence but there are contained in the document as presented to me on pages 2 and 4 highly uncomplimentary remarks concerning two of the prosecuting nations represented before your Lordships.

1/2

oldb r g 8 6 K p

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: If the document contains uncomplimentary remarks to two of the Allied Powers it will not be rejected for that reason alone, or for that reason at all. It will have to be rejected on some other ground.

What part do you propose to read? MR. McMANUS: The last paragraph on page 3, if the Tribunal pleases. I believe the Court's copies are marked.

THE PRESIDENT: No, there is no marking in my copy or any other judge's copy.

MR. McMANUS: I am sorry, your Honor. I thought all the copies were marked for the Judges.

I intend to read the last paragraph on page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: On my casting vote the document is rejected. Objection upheld.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender for receipt into evidence document No. 202-K-1 and ask that the excerpts therefrom be received into evidence. It is John B. Powell's book "My Twenty-Five Years in Chine." This document is offered to show the disturbance going on in China whereby Russia had to take steps to protect its mationals and property.

THE PRESIDENT: The document is admitted.

The objection is overruled. 1 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 2 No. 202-K-1 will receive exhibit No. 2373. 3 (Whereupon, the document above refer-4 red to as marked defense exhibit No. 2373 and received in evidence.) 6 MR. McMANUS: (Reading) "Accompanied by 7 a number of other correspondents"--8 THE MONITOR: Mr. McManus, the Language 9 Section is trying to find the corresponding page 10 in the Japanese text. 11 MR. McMANUS: On the top of page 3. 12 THE PRESIDENT: It is the decision of the 13 majority and the document is admitted on the usual 14 15 terms. BRIGADIER NOLAN: If I may interrupt, your 16 I would ask learned counsel to indicate to 17 the Tribunal the date if it does not appear in a 18 particular excerpt which is being read. 19 20 MR. McMANUS: It is 1929. (Reading) "Accompanied by a number of 21 other correspondents, including Wilbur Forrest of 22 the NEW YORK HERALD TRIBUNE, Jim Howe, Associated 23 24 Press, and William Philip Simms of the Scripps-Howard newspapers, I arrived in Harbin about a week 25

later. We found that the Chinese had seized the railway telegraph system and all offices of the Soviet Far Eastern Trading Corporation, the Naphtha Trust, and the Soviet Mercantile Fleet owned a number of large paddle-wheel steamers which operated on the Sungari and Amur rivers, reminiscent of steamboats on the Mississippi and Missouri rivers.

"The Soviet Government acted with equal energy. Minister Karakhan, who had in the meantime been appointed Assistant Foreign Minister in Hoscow, denounced the Chinese action as a 'gross violation of treaties' and gave China an ultimatum of three days to return a satisfactory answer, failing which the Soviet Government threatened 'to resort to other means for the protection of its lawful rights.'

Fighting soon broke out along the Chinese Eastern Railway at both the eastern and western borders of Manchuria, resulting in heavy casualties to the Chinese forces at the town of Manchouli, where some 8,000 Chinese soldiers were killed. The Chinese town of Pogranichnaya, at the eastern end of the railway, was badly shattered by Soviet artillery fire and airbombs. A Chinese town known as Lahasusu at the mouth of the Sungari River, opposite Khabarovsk on the /mur, was bombed and

burned, and two Chinese gunboats stationed there were sunk by Soviet planes."

I now tender document 202-K-2 and ask that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show that the Japanese had to take steps to protect their property in China because of Russian activities for the protection of its sphere of influence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to the document 202-K-2. It seems to contain no date and is in essence an account of an interview of a newspaperman with a woman and a boy sometime somewhere.

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor pleases, I propose to read the first paragraph and the last paragraph, and the date is the same as the last document, during the year 1929.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the document is rejected. The objection is upheld.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender document No. 202-L-2 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show that portions of China were considered to be a Russian sphere of influence.

		THE PRESIDENT:	That :	is imp	ortant	in all	
	cases.						
		Brigadier Nola	n.				
J.							
100							
6							

THE PRESIDENT: Brigedier Nolan.

ment in question, to which we object, 202-L-2, purports to be a description of a proposed agreement and I submit that this Tribunal is not concerned with what might but did not happen; and lastly, it will be observed that it purports to be an excerpt from a manuscript written by a gentleman, which manuscript was never published.

MR. McMANUS: I propose to read the last paragraph of the document.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender for identification, or request that this document be marked into evidence, the excerpts read therefrom, document 202-0-3. This document is offered to show the anti-Japanese sentiment of the Communist armies in China and how the Chinese Communist groups demonstrated and paraded, advocating a united front against the Japanese.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you tarked the parts you intend to read, Mr. McManus?

MR. McMANUS: Yes, your Honor.

BLIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the document is objected to on the grounds that I have urged in respect of earlier documents taken from this same book. We submit that the Sian Incident has nothing to do with those matters which are the concern of this Tribunal and that the document should be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld by a majority. The document is rejected.

IT. McMANUS: I now tender defense document 202-Q-1 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered for the purpose of showing general unrest in China in 1936.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the prosecution objects to this document for the grounds already mentioned. It purports to be an account of a trip taken by the author and what he saw in the Red Square in Moscow and how he was able to discover a cheap brand of perfume for sale in Russia.

THE PRESIDENT: Not one of those interesting things appears in the excerpt proposed to be read.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: I am sorry, Mr. President, but defense counsel will not keep to the order of the documents in which they are given to us with the result that we are mistaken in the document that has

2

1

5

7

.

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

just been mentioned by me. The document under consideration is 202-Q-1 and deals with the Sian Incident which has already been determined as to its relevancy by the Tribunal.

M. McMANUS: If the Court pleases, the order of proof has been made known to the prosecution

order of proof has been made known to the prosecution as subject to change. There has not been many deviations from the order submitted to the prosecution several days ago and I specifically mentioned the number before offering this document.

THE PRISIDENT: Do not waste time on that.

The objection is upheld on my casting vote.

The document is rejected. I am sorry. I have just received another vote. I must reverse that decision. The objection is overruled. The document is admitted on the usual terms.

No. 202-Q-1 will receive exhibit No. 2374.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2374
and received in evidence.)

MR. McMANUS (Reading): "Excerpts from Prosecution Witness JOHN B. POWELL'S Book 'MY TWENTY-FIVE YEARS IN CHINA!

"China had experienced many crises since the

overthrow of the Manchu Dynasty in 1911, but none which had more repercussions, domestic and international, than the Sian Incident of December 12, 1936. I was still in the Philippines, but, realizing the seriousness of the crisis, hurried back to China. Excitement was running high both at Shanghai and at the national capital at Nanking when I arrived there a few days before Christmas.

"The kidnaping of Chiang Kai-shek, commanderin-chief of the Nationalist armies and head of the
National Government, practically paralyzed the Nanking
Administration and provided an opportunity for
political dissension and intrigue, which had been
held in check only by the firm hand of the Generalissimo.

"The confusion in the Government was aggravated by the critical political situation prevailing throughout the Far East. The countries most deeply concerned, aside from China, were Japan and the Soviet Union."

I now tender document 202-Q-2. This document is offered to show how the political parties in China unfurled the anti-Japanese banner as a pretext for questionable political behavior and request that the excerpts read herefrom be received into evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, the document to which we object is nothing more or less than an 1 account written by Mr. Powell about what he saw in 3 the Chinese newspapers. 4 THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld. 5 The document is rejected by a majority. 6 MR. McMANUS: I now tender document 202-0-1 7 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be marked 3 into evidence. This document is offered to show the 9 extensive military program adopted by Russia in 10 1935. 11 THE PESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan. 12 BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, we object 13 to this document. It will be seen at a glance that 14 it is the impressions of a traveller crossing Russia 15 on an eleven-day journey and he purports to give his 16 opinion as to the size of the Russian Army. 17 MR. McMANUS: He states what he saw, if the 18 Court pleases, and also what he was told. 19 THE PRESIDENT: The objection is overruled. 20 The document is admitted on the usual terms. 21 CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 22 202-0-1 will receive exhibit No. 2375. 23 (Whereupon, the document above re-24 ferred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2375 25 and received in evidence.)

W 1 1

2

9

10

7

8

13

14

15

12

16 17

18

21

20

23 24

22

25

MR. McMANUS: I shall now read the second paragraph:

"A notable frature of the celebration in Red Square was an exhibition flight of the giant plane named Maxim Gorky, said to be the largest plane constructed up to that time. The plane was equipped with a radio and a giant amplifier for disseminating Government propaganda. The parade of military forces through Red Square lasted from 10 o'clock in the morning to late afternoon. Stalin and members of the cabinet stood behind a stone balcony on the top of Lenin's tomb, only their heads and shoulders being visible from the diplomatic reviewing stand, which was only about fifty yards distant. I was told that the Russian infantry units which marched through Red Square that day were among the best drilled and equipped soldiers in Europe of the time. No one who observed the exhibition could leave without the impression that the Russian revolution had taken on a pronounced military complexion. The parade of civilian workers through Red Square that day was enlivened by numerous caricatures of Germans and Japanese. The various unions of workers, including women, also marched with a military precision that indicated widespread military training.

"In addition to the military development which was obvious on all sides, the country seemed to be undergoing a rapid industrialization, and the personal comfort of the people was being sacrificed to the development of heavy industry. The only luxury article I was able to discover was a chear brand of perfume which seemed to be on sale everywhere."

I now tender document 202-0-3 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show that Japan's military preparation was far less extensive than that of Russia.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: The document, Mr. President, is objected to on the ground that it contains the opinion of the author as to the various manners in which the heavy industry grew up in Japan and in Russia.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld. The document is rejected by a majority.

MR. McMANUS: I now offer document 202-0-2 and request that the same be received in evidence. This document is offered to show that in 1935 Russia considered Japan and Germany her potential enemies.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIFR NOLAN: Mr. President, the document is objected to on the ground that it is merely
an argument on the part of the author wherein he sets
out what seemed to him to be the reason for restric-

tions on travel in Russia.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is unheld. The document is rejected.

MR. McMANUS: I now offer document No. 202-N-4 and request that the excerpts be marked into evidence. This document is offered to show the concern of the Japanese Government and the seriousness of its belief in all information received, especially if it concerned Russia.

THE PRYSIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: I object to the document, your Honor, because it doesn't do any of the things contended for by my learned friend. It is intended, I think, to be a humorous document because the author says that the story he is about to tell has its humorous elements.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

22

23

24

25

MR. McMANUS: I now offer document No. 202-M-3 and request the excerpt read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show how the Soviet Union was purposely antagonizing Japan by recruiting and employing Korean troops.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Your Honor, we object to the document. It is meaningless, it has no date, it doesn't even say where the observer was when he observed the things he says he observed.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is disallowed and the document admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 202-M-3 will receive exhibit No. 2376.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 202-M-3 and received in evidence.)

MR. McMANUS: (Reading)

"Excerpts from Prosecution Witness John B.
Powell's book 'My Twenty-Five Years In China'
"Vladivostok

"Pages 211

"One day I visited a parade ground, and was surprised to see a regiment of Korean troops drilling and maneuvering under Soviet officers. I was told that

the Korean regiment was part of the Soviet border-defense force. Later, in the vicinity of Lake Baikal, I observed even larger bodies of Oriental troops wearing the uniform of the Soviet army."

I now offer document No. 202-E-3 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show communist activities in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, we object
to the document in that it describes matters which took
place in 1922, is a life history of General Feng, and
goes on to describe a story which a former Soviet
publicist told to entertain some of his friends and it
is not indicated that the author was there at all.

MR. McMANUS: As military training became compulsory in Japan in 1925 I --

THE PRESIDENT: Wait, we haven't come to a decision yet.

MR. McMANUS: Oh, pardon me.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. McMANUS: I now tender document 202-E-2 and request the excerpts read therefrom be received in evidence. This document is offered to show "fifth

3

4

5

6

.

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

columnist" work by communists to create further unrest in China.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Nolan.

BRIGADIER NOLAN: Mr. President, we object to the reception in evidence of document 202-E-2. It is not quite clear whether that which is therein contained was obtained from an interview with General Wu, but it describes General Wu with some particularity at the time of the interview.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

14 march 1967

NOTE:

The attached pages are corrected pages and should be substituted for the corresponding pages in the record.

taken until 1500, after which the two

(Whereupon, at lady, a recess was

We will recess for fir feet bloudes,

is mobeld and the document reject of

THE FRESIDENT: By a majority the objection

time of the interplet,

describes denoted Wo with some particularity at the were obtained from an interview with General To, but it. not dutte clear whather that which is therein contained the reception in evidence of document 202-E-2. It is

ERICADIER ROLANT Mr. President, we object to

THE PARSIDERT: SPINGLET Molan.

in china.

columnist" work to communists to preste further unrest

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

21

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. McManus.

MR. McMANUS: Bear with me for just one second, please.

If your Honor pleases, at this time I would like to present Mr. BANNO who will interrogate a witness, YOSHIDA.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. BANNO.

MR. BANNO: I should like to call the witness YOSHIDA to the witness stand.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is not in the witness room.

MR. BANNO: He is supposed to be there, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: I tell you he is not.

MR. McMANUS: If the Tribunal pleases, the witness was in the witness room a short time ago.

18 I do not know what happened to him. We beg your in-19 dulgence for a few moments.

THE PRESIDENT: You had better go ahead with semething else.

22 MR. McMANUS: At this time I would like to
23 call Mr. IWAMATSU, Goro.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: There is no one in the witness room.

Mr. President, both witnesses were in the witness room at 1440 hours, five minutes before the recess, and I am not informed as to their whereabouts at the moment.

MR. McMANUS: If the Tribunal pleases, several people have gone to find them. If we could just have a few moments, I beg the Court's indulgence.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you any documents you could tender, Mr. McManus?

MR. McMANUS: Yes, I believe I have one, if the Court pleases.

THE PRESIDENT: We may sit here for a long time before these witnesses appear. You never know.

MR. McMANUS: I now offer document No. 410 and request that the excerpts read therefrom be received into evidence. This document is offered to show communist activities toward Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

--

the prosecution objects to the admissibility of this document. It purports to be a book written, apparently, in prison by a Japanese communist who died there in April, 1929. The only certificate of its authenticity is that it is said to have been sold as

MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please the Tribunal,

a -- at an unnamed date at an unnamed place, probably in Tokyo. It recites from the communist point of view the history of the Japanese communist party from the end of the first World War down to the date of the writer's death in April, 1929.

THE PRESIDENT: He died in 1945 according to this.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Very well.

THE PRESIDENT: "On March 15, 1945, in Miyagi Prison, he closed his life of fifty-three years."

MR. COMYNS CARR: But he was arrested in April, '29; I made a mistake. And the excerpts do not appear to relate to any period after that date. In my submission, the history of the communist party in Japan or that of any other period is entirely irrelevant to any issue which is raised in this trial.

MR. McMANUS: If the Tribunal pleases, this will show why the Peace Preservation Law was enacted in Japan, to stop these communist activities.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. McMANUS: I now request that the witness YOSHIDA be called, please.

AKIO	Y O S H I D O, called as a witness on
bel	half of the defence, being first duly sworn,
te	stified through Japanese interpreters as
fo	llows:
	DIRECT LXAMINATION
BY MR. 1	BANNO:
ø	What is your name?
A	My name is YOSHIDO, Akio.
Q	The date of your birth?
A	I was born on November 21, 1894.
	That is your present address?
A	My present address is Nanchu Village, Kamo
District	t, Shizuoka Prefecture.
0	I will show you the defense document No.
691.	In parties think have the capter.
	THE PRESIDENT: Before you do so we must
get an	explanation of why he was not here at 3:00
o'clock	. Has he been su'ncenaed?
A	Just before I went to the lavatory and was
thus del	layed. To him to pame to appear the butter himself
	THE PRESIDENT: The explanation is sufficient.
o	Will you examine document 691? Is it, the
document	in your hand is it your affidavit?
A	Yes, no doubt.
MR.	BANNO: I tender in evidence defense document

No. 691.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Tavenner.

DIRECT

MR. TAVENNER: If your Honor please, I understood that this document is being offered in evidence.

I think I should point out to the Court in advance that this document, thirty-eight pages in length, which is the affidavit of this witness, relates to some matters which we think are not admissible in testimony. The first six pages constitute a treatise or an argument under a chapter which deals with the object of training youth, in which they contend that the --

THE PRESIDENT: Let us see it. We can follow it better if we have the copies.

MR. TAVENNER: This document was not on the order list and that may explain its failure to be here.

THE PRESIDENT: These delays are very regrettable. We have no time to spare. Every minute is precious.

MR. McMANUS: If your Honor please, I believe this document is in the other box in the clerk's office. They have gone to check on it.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Tavenner?

I will re-state my objection.

1 2

3

5

6

4

7

8

10

11

12

14

13

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

23

24

25

not contest. We will have to sift the wheat from the chaff; that is what it amounts to.

MR. TAVENNER: If it please your Honor,

THE PRESIDENT: I suppose most of it you do

MR. TAVENNER: I thought possibly I could point out --

THE PRESIDENT: Like many of your documents it is tainted with opinions, I suppose; that is what it amounts to. It is not worth-while wasting a lot of time arguing. You object to it.

MR. TAVENNER: I object to it, calling to the Tribunal's attention the first six pages and the last fourteen. It is those particularly that are irrelevant to the issue.

THE PRESIDENT: A majority of the Court overrules the objection. It is admitted on the usual terms. It is understood that it is admitted for any statements of fact that may prove relevant and material, that is, have probative value. That is all I can say.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document No. 691 will receive exhibit No. 2377.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 2377 and received in evidence.)

MR. BANNO: Now I am going to read court exhibit No. 2377. I should like to read this present document, omitting those parts which may be regarded as opinions or conclusions. The parts to be omitted have already been notified to the Language Section, therefore I shall read without making explanations every time I reach a passage that has been omitted.

Third line, page 1: "From March, 1930 to December 1935, I was attached to the Headquarters of the 16th Division, serving as an officer in charge of school training. In those days I was an infantry Captain not long afterwards being promoted to Major.

"From August 1937 to March 1941 I served in a section of the Personnel Affairs Bureau, and then in a section of the Military Service Bureau of the War Ministry and took charge of school training. At that time I was a Major, later being promoted to Lieutenant Colonel.

"The following statement includes what I learned about school training during my tenure of office and what I investigated in connection with school training in making this affidavit. I was not directly concerned with youth training. But it has much to do with

Duda & Whales

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

"1. The reason why the system of school training and youth training was adopted.

"National training, especially the training of youths, which had been carried out by leading powers since the close of World War I had characteristics and developments of their own according to their respective internal conditions. Those countries had striven for the realization of a common ideal towards the development of these trainings, based on the lessons they had learned from World War I. On the other hand, Japan was the only country that did not have such systems and institutions. Not only had the young men and boys of the laboring class of Japan who formed the greater part of the youths, no definite educational institution after finishing compulsory education, but they were left to be infected with the evil ways of the world in pre-war and post-war times. Such being the case, men of intelligence feered that this might cast a glocmy shadow over the future of the state. The investigation of the youth training which had been carried out by leading powers showed that, if let alone, Japan alone would be far behind the progress of leading powers. In short, this worldwide tendency, especially national training, which was being carried out assiduously by the other powers,

compared with that of Japan, made the Japanese Government and people awake to the necessity of carrying out this training. After all, the deficiency of civic training revealed at the time of the great earthquake in 1923, prompted Japan to adopt the system of school training in 1925 and subsequently the system of youth training in 1926."

I shall omit the next sentence. The top of page 3:

"Why and how these systems came to be adopted are clear from the note of the speech delivered by Colonel IMAMURA, the then chief of the Enlistment Section of the War Ministry, which is included in the addended material. According to this note, a large section of public opinion was for the adoption of these systems in those days and the bill was carried unanimously in both Houses of Parliament. This note shows how the popular feelings, especially the spirit of the youths were deplorably bad in those days. The above is an explanation of the manner in which these systems were adopted."

We omit the next sentence.

"The Instruction No. 5 of the Education Ministry, which was issued on April 13, 1925, explained the purpose in adopting training in schools as follows:

'The training in schools has for its object the physical and mental discipline of the students and elevation of character; in other words, it aims at fostering patriotic feeling, the spirit of self-sacrifice, independence and self-reliance and cultivating the habit of discharging their responsibility well, using moderation, obeying orders and developing physically. Further, it aims at fostering an indomitable spirit.'

"As for youth training, its aim was made clear by the speeches delivered by the Minister of Education and the War Minister at the meeting of Major Generals attached to the Headquarters of the Divisions, which was held in December 1925. 'I realized keenly the necessity for youth training, development of sound mind and body and elevating of character. Therefore, I am planning to put these systems into effect.' The War Minister stated, 'The object of youth training is exactly the same as that of training in schools; its aim is physical and mental discipline, fostering of esprit de corps and elevation of character. This training falls into the category of national education and not, as is generally misunderstood, training for military purposes. Once this training is universally propagated, the character and

3 4 5

1

2

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

16

17

18

20

21

23

constitution of the youths who are to join the Army will be improved and this in turn will lead to the improvement of character and constitution of other youths. In that case, this training will do much towards the strengthening of national defense.'

"At the meeting of some members of the House of Peers which was held in 1930, Colonel IMAMURA, the then chief of the Enlistment Section of the War Ministry explained the condition of national training in Europe and America and the reason why these two systems came to be adopted in Japan. At the same time, he stated the aims of this training as follows: (see attached sheet 3)

"As it clear from all circumstances under which these systems were adopted, we believed that it would be most simple and effective to adopt military drill as a course of the school in order to foster the spirit of fortitude, and to cultivate the habit of observing discipline and decorum, valuing labor, as well as to develop physical education and thus to elevate the nation's character. The military authorities had not the slightest intention of forcing this military training to be adopted. Now, I shall explain why officers on the active list came to be attached to the schools: Military drill which had been adopted

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

as a school course as early as 1886 by MOhI, the then Minister of Education, became existent in name only since the end of the Meiji era. The main reason why it had no beneficial effect on the discipline of the students was that the students ceased to place confidence in the retired officers in charge of this training. In view of this fact, we concluded that, if school training was to be developed, officers on the active list had to be attached to the schools. Therefore, the military authorities believed that physical and mental discipline of the students through training in schools and youth training would result in the strengthening of national defense. At the same time, on the basis of the above forecast, they arrived at the conclusion that the reduction of the period of active service could not adversely affect the education in the army. Thus, the military authorities were willing to agree to the proposals of the Education Ministry. This training was essentially to be carried out under the guidance and control of the school directors, and at the same time it comprises a social education under the control of the departments responsible in the Education Ministry as well as the prefectural authorities. The military authorities maintained an attitude of utmost

cooperation in this program and assistance to it."

We now omit the next twelve lines.

"We used to be extremely cautious in warning the officers attached to the schools to be careful not to give rise to public misunder standing. The Instructions No. 5 of the Education Ministry, April 13, 1925 (see attached sheet 1), the excerpt from the speeches delivered by the Minister of Education and the War Minister at the meeting of Major Generals attached to the Headquarters of the Divisions which was held in December 1925 (see attached sheet 2) and, the excerpt from the speech delivered by Colonel IMAMURA, the then chief of the Enlistment Section of the War Ministry, at the meeting of some members of the House of Peers which was held in 1930, (also attached.)

"2. Development of training in schools and youth training.

"(1) Spirit directed toward a definite aim -

"As was stated in the preceding chapter, the main object of school training and youth training was to discipline physically and mentally the students and youths at large. The acquisition of knowledge of fighting technique was, so to speak, nothing more than

3

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

a by-product of the enforcement of training.

"At the meetings of the officials concerned, which were held annually by the central administrative agencies, they would direct their attention to this object. In this connection at the meeting of Major Generals attached to the Headquarters of the Divisions which was held in 1925, the War Minister and others stated in their speeches that school training should be carried out for purely educational purposes and not for military purposes. At the same meeting they stated, 'Indeed, one of the objects of school training is to strengthen national defense, but this is not the immediate object. It is contrary to its true aim to be eager to acquire military technique in the extremely concrete sense. Thus, I hope you will understand that the true spirit of this system aims at bringing up a healthy man, both physically and mentally.

"As for the strengthening of national defense, the War Minister stated in his speech delivered at the conference of prefectural governors held in 1926, 'Once youth training is universally inculcated and the elevation of the character of our youth is accomplished, the Army, a part of the national organization will derive much benefit from it, because, in that case, many physically and mentally healthy youths will

9

20 21

22

23

join the Army. This will raise efficiency of training in peacetime and will increase the fighting strength of the Army in case of emergency.

"'Once the youth is disciplined physically and mentally and improvement in character brought about, the army will be sure to derive much benefit from it. Therefore, we prived at the conclusion that, so long as there are sufficient establishments in the barracks, the reduction of the period of active service will not affect national defense adversely."

Omit the next sentence.

"(2) After the China Incident.

"In view of the war situation after the outbreak of the China Incident, the nation at large, especially the teachers and students, naturally awoke to the importance of national defense. As the war situation developed and due to its suddenness, these two types of training inevitably came to be carried out. On the other hand, the number of officers attached to the schools, training teachers and of teachers of the youth training schools who were called to the colors increased gradually. As a result, teachers in charge of training became scarce, which prevented training from being carried out smoothly.

"Nevertheless, in order to meet the demands

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of the times and comply with the wishes of the prefectural offices, the military authorities placed the Special Volunteer Officers in charge of training of the schools and at the same time ordered officers who had been attached to the schools to assist the youth training schools in their training. Thus, the military authorities did everything in their power to evercome difficulties encountered in carrying out training.

"In addition, in view of the lessons we had learned from the fighting at the outset of the China Incident, we were obliged to reorganize the military cadet system; that is, one year system was extended to two years, the privilege of the reduction of the period of active service which had been enjoyed by those who had passed the examination of the youth training schools was abolished. In 1929 'the youth training school' was remmed 'the youth school' and it became a compulsory course. In order to carry out actively the training of the students of the universities which had been inactive, the training became a compulsory instead of an optional course. In addition, the circumstances caused the students to take much more interest in military affairs than ever before. In view of the fact that the war situation demanded the repletion

7

9

10

12

13

11

15

16

17

14

18

19

20

22

23

of the times and comply with the wishes of the prefectural offices, the military authorities placed the Special Volunteer Officers in charge of training of the schools and at the same time ordered officers who had been attached to the schools to assist the youth training schools in their training. Thus, the military authorities did everything in their power to overcome difficulties encountered in carrying out training.

"In addition, in view of the lessons we had learned from the tighting at the outset of the China Incident, we were obliged to reorganise the military cadet system; that is, one year system was extended to two years, the privilege of the reduction of the period of active service which had been enjoyed by those who had passed the examination of the youth training schools was abolished. In 1939 the youth training school' was renamed 'the youth school' and it became a compulsory course. In order to carry out actively the training of the students of the universities which had been inactive, the training became a compulsory instead of an optional course. In addition, the circumstances caused the students to take much more interest in military affairs than ever before. In view of the fact that the war situation demanded the repletion of armaments and from fifteen years experience since
the establishment of this system, the military authorities consulted with the Educational Minister about the
system. As a result, the outlined program of instruction in the schools to which officers on the active
list were attached was amended and the Instructions
No. 5 of the Educational Ministry was issued."

Omit the first line on page 11.

"The object of braining was made clear in the revised outlined program of instruction as follows:

"'The object of training is to give basic military training to students and to cultivate the spirit of sincerity and loyalty and to carry out the physical and mental training, thereby raising the standard of character and strengthening national defense.'

"Thus, the rule was laid down by which students were to be guided in training. Since in the past we had made it a practice to warn the students not to be restricted to the non-i portant details of military technique, the students were apt to neglect the most simple matters which formed the basis of training. Therefore, this trend s emed likely to produce a harmful effect on the nental training which should be carried out side by side with physical training. Thus, we realized the necessity of pursuing the basic training in a strict and orderly manner and disciplining the students physically and mentally.

"(2) Persons in Charge of Training.

"As for the officers attached to the schools, the authorities endeavoured to select capable officers. In addition, preliminary education was given

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

to officers who were expected to be attached to the schools to take the place of other officers. In the War Ministry, the section in charge of this training was established. In the Headquarters of the Divisions, Major Generals attached to them were placed in sole charge of school training. In the Headquarters of the Regimental Districts, the section in charge of youth training had been well organized. Therefore, they strove to establish this system. Nevertheless, because of the fact that a large number of officers on the ective list went to the front after the outbreak of the China Incident, the principle 'One school, one officer' had to be replaced by the principle 'Several schools, one officer.' In order to fill up vacancies, we appointed retired officers and special volunteer officers. But this did not sufficiently relieve the situation. At length, we were obliged to attach one officer to several schools. What was worse, the abilities of these officers showed a marked decline, which became the cause of the stagnation of training in schools.

"(3) Training Curriculum.

"1. Teaching materials of training in schools.

"Teaching materials were individual drill,
force drill, marksmanship, field duty, semaphore

signalling, distance-surveying, cartography and lectures on military affairs. There had been some alterations since the establishment of the system. I had already stated in the foregoing chapter that the training by means of these teaching materials chiefly aims at disciplining students physically and mentally instead of aiming at acquisition of military technique. In order to make this aim clear, the military authorities repeatedly explained this point to officers attached to the schools, the divisional commanders, major generals attached to the Headquarters of the Livisions and regimental commanders who were directly concerned with school training. Excerpts from these speeches are given on attached sheet 4.

"2. Hours of drill in a week and days of field exercise in a year.

"as for the hours of drill in a year, two to
three hours in the case of the middle schools, one and
a half hour in the colleges and high schools, and
school training was an optional course in universities.
In addition, it was stipulated that field exercises
should be carried out for four to six days annually
and that the students of the normal schools should take
a short course in military affairs for three weeks
before their graduation."

Omit t	ne ba	ILand	39 01	this !	page.	
"Train	ing h	our	s ame	nded in	1941, which	were
longest, are a	s fol	Llows	3.			
				reachin Hours a Week	in Hours in	Lays of Field Ex cise in Year
			Grad	e 2	70	4
Middle School			Grad	e 3	70 100	5
)The	4th	Grad Grad	6 3	100 90	4 4 5 5 (5)
High School)The	lst	Grad	e 2	70	7
and College)The	2nd	Grad Grad	9 2	70 60	7 7 (7)
)The	1st	Grad	e 2	60	7
University)The	2nd	Grad Grad	e 2	60	7 7 (7)
"The p	arent	thes	ized	passag	es show the	nours
of the course	of m	ilit	ary a	ffairs	н	

"4. Creation of exceptions to school training in the form of special training and glider training.

"Training in machine guns, infantry guns, field guns, mountain guns, tanks and aviation had been carried out in compliance with the wishes of individual students since 1936 through the assistance of military units in various districts in which their schools were situated. This system was newly established as an exception to school training in 1941, and thus the students were

enabled to receive this training in place of general training.

- "(3) Responsibility for Carrying out the System.
 - "1. School Military Training.
- "1. School training was a part of school education. (At first it belonged to the gymnastics course, but the school training course was created independently of gymnastics in 1939.) The directors of the schools were responsible for the enforcement of it under the control and guidance of the Education Ministry, the same as for the enforcement of other education.
- "2. Regarding the enforcement of such matters as the outlined program of instruction which were directly concerned with both the Education Ministry and the War Ministry, it was customary that, after agreement was reached between them, the Education Ministry issued the notifications concerning them to various schools. (There were differences in importance according to Ordinances of the Education Ministry, instructions and notifications, as the case may be.)
- "3. On the other hand, the War Ministry held itself responsible for the inspection and authorization of school training, the enforcement of which was based

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

on 'the regulation concerning training inspection of the schools to which the officers on the active list are attached' and 'the regulation concerning the authorization of school training.'

"4. Based on the ordinance concerning the service of the officers on the active list in the school (Imperial Ordinance No. 135, April 13, 1925), officers on the active list were attached to the schools according to the ordinance concerning the service of officers on the active list in the school (Ordinance of the Education Ministry and of the War Ministry, April 13, 1925). Therefore, according to the provision of Article I of the regulation relative to the application of the laws, the Education Ministry collected the inspection reports and written applications of various schools which were presented by directors or founders of these schools, and presented them together with its own written opinion to the War Ministry every April and consulted with it. After agreement was reached between them, the War Ministry notified the public of the schools to which officers on the active list should be attached for the year. Therefore, the War Ministry never decided on these schools on its own authority or forced others to comply with its decisions.

"5. The War Ministry took charge of personnel affairs and the education of officers attached to the schools. Similarly, it took charge of the appointment and dismissal of these officers and from time to time gave detailed instructions to the schools under the guidance of the Army concerning the enforcement of training from its own special standpoint. Above all, for the purpose of improving the technical skill which is essentially necessary for the officers, education was carried out by the units to which they belonged at some appropriate period in the year.

"6. The War Ministry bore only the personnel expenses of those officers attached to the schools and the Education Ministry or the schools bore the expenses of the school training.

"As for the sale of rifles and ammunition used in training, the War Ministry sold them at cost price according to the provision of the Weapons Sale Regulation. However, as weapons had been in great demand since the outbreak of the China Incident, many schools bought and used infantry rifles of the NAMBU type and light machine guns of the NAMBU type which were manufactured by private companies.

"2. Youth Training.

"Youth training was under the jurisdiction of the

Education Ministry and the prefectural government offices. Therefore, the military authorities merely cooperated and assisted from the side lines.

"(4) Relation of these Two Types of Training to Military Service.

"There had been a cry for the reduction of
the period of active service of those who finished the
middle school course, but it was stated in the speeches
at the time of the adoption of this system that the
privilege of the reduction of the period of active
service was not compensation for the completion of
the course of training. The following list testifies
to this fact."

We now skip to the top of page 22.

"5. The effect which this system produced on the students.

"1. The effect which this system produced on the cultivation of moral character of the students.

"The rigorous enforcement of training had remarkably beneficial effect on the physical and mental discipline of the students. For example, when the students of a certain higher commercial school inspected a factory, the factory praised them for their conduct which was far more orderly and disciplined than ever before. Similarly, the railway authorities praised

7 8

the students for their manners in the trains, which were entirely changed and which had a beneficial effect on public morals. Virtues exhibited by the students, such as courtesy, respect for the old, simplicity, indefatigable assiduity, strong sense of responsibility and cooperation, came to be regarded by men of intelligence as resulting from school training. In the case of the students of high schools, colleges and universities, however, the results fell far short of expectations.

"2. The effect which this training exerted on school morals and school discipline.

"In connection with this system, many prefectural and school authorities attempted to organize the educational organs well so the school training might produce a beneficial effect on the students."

We skip to the first sentence, the next page.

"However, as stated above, while some high
schools, colleges and universities realized the
anticipated results under the guidance of their
direction in most schools the results fell short of
our expectations. Especially, the applicants for
training from universities were fewer because training
was an optional course up to 1939. Therefore,

)

4

6

9

10

11

12

14

16 17

18

20 21

22

23 24

25

except students who took the course of training in earnest, most students were as indifferent to training as before.

"3. The improvement of health and the effect of physical training.

"We had been concerned about the fact that, as shown by the physical examinations for conscription, the health of the students had been by far inferior to those of the youths in general. However, during ten years since the enforcement of training, the health of the students had gradually improved."

That concludes the reading of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, it is too late to proceed to the further examination.

We will adjourn until half-past nine on Monday.

(Whereupon, at 1555, an adjournment was taken until Monday, 17 March 1947, at 0930.)